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The charts and tables below provide a snapshot of the responses to the SOM IACUC Service Survey.

During this reporting period, investigators received an invitation to complete a survey to assess their interaction and experience with the SOM IACUC. The invitation was sent to investigators who received approval from the SOM IACUC office for new protocols, amendments, and continuing reviews. The PI or contact person was asked to complete the survey one time per invitation.

Below is a summary of the results from IACUC Approvals for summer 2017.

SURVEY PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS:

I am a/an:
- Principal Investigator: 100%
- Investigator (not including students): 0%
- Student Investigator: 0%
- Study Coordinator: 0%

Please indicate the type of submission:
- New Study: 33%
- Major Amendment: 17%
- Minor Amendment: 42%
- Continuing Review: 8%

SOM IACUC PROCESS PERFORMANCE:

- Please rate the responsiveness of the office staff: 83.3%
- Please rate the timeliness of feedback you received from office staff: 75.0%
- Please rate the clarity and helpfulness of feedback from the office staff: 75.0%
- Please rate the quality of the review conducted: 50.0%
- Please rate the overall effectiveness of the process: 50.0%

SOM IACUC Staff Analysis:

- Very effective: 10, 9, 9, 6, 6
- Effective: 2, 3, 3, 6, 5
- Neither Effective or Ineffective: 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
- Ineffective: 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
- Very Ineffective: 0, 0, 0, 0, 1
drug treatment or changing a variable in a project is inefficient. The waiting 2 or more weeks for review for a minor change is burdensome. I am sure having reviewers re-read the entire protocol is rough on them. The whole process is inefficient and I actually choose to not do certain experiments simply because the IACUC amendment process is so slow and a headache.
Smooth and relatively fast process.
very pleased
(blank)