
The following information represents the Office of Research Compliance (ORC) Service Survey that was conducted in the spring of 2015. This survey summary includes responses for questions pertaining to the IU School of Medicine IACUC. There were a total of 25 responses. The respondents were either Principal Investigators or lab assistants that interact with the IU SoM IACUC.



	Very Effective	Effective	Neither effective or ineffective	Ineffective	Very ineffective
Please rate the responsiveness of the office staff.	13 (52%)	11 (44%)	1 (4%)	0	0
Please rate the timeliness of feedback you received.	12 (48%)	12 (48%)	1 (4%)	0	0
Please rate the clarity and helpfulness of feedback.	12 (48%)	13 (52%)	0	0	0
Please rate the quality of the review conducted.	11 (44%)	11 (44%)	3 (12%)	0	0
Please rate the overall effectiveness of the process. (24 responses)	9 (38%)	11 (46%)	4 (16%)	0	0



Are there any other comments, concerns, or suggestions you would like to offer?

- There is too great an emphasis on enumerating details of sample size and time points and precise experimental design, when these are usually dynamic and changing in real life.
- Yes OLAW and AALAC guidelines should not be over interpreted and made more rigorous by IU LARC staff. If a procedure is allowed it should be allowed with no additional restrictions or conditions. If the speed limit is 40mph that is what you drive. If someone who lives on that street says 20mph is better (just because) it causes unnecessary problems. IACUCC is charged with following the guidelines not making them up. Please think about that.
- I think it is still being reviewed and I do not know the final outcome.
- I have heard complaints from others taht the reviews can be delayed, but that was not my experience at all. I have been at two other major academic institutions (Johns Hopkins and UVA) and felt that this was a fairly streamlined experience, especially for a phase lb study.