The charts and tables below provide a snapshot of the responses to the BIACUC Service Survey.

During this reporting period, investigators received an invitation to complete a survey to assess their interaction and experience with the BIACUC. The invitation was sent to investigators who received approval from the BIACUC office for new protocols, amendments, and continuing reviews. The PI or contact person was asked to complete the survey one time per invitation.

Below is a summary of the results from IACUC Approvals for fall 2018.

### Survey Participant Demographics:

![Pie chart showing the distribution of roles among survey participants.](image)

- **Principal Investigator**: 100%
- **Postdoctoral Researcher**: 0%
- **Student**: 0%
- **Lab Manager/Assistant**: 0%
- **Other**: 0%

**I am a/an:**

- **New Study**: 16%
- **Major Amendment**: 50%
- **Personnel Amendment**: 17%
- **Renewal Study**: 17%
- **Please indicate the type of submission:**

### BIACUC Process Performance:

**BIACUC Staff Analysis**

- **Please rate the responsiveness of the office staff.**
  - Very effective: 83.3%
  - Effective: 0%
  - Neither Effective nor Ineffective: 16.7%

- **Please rate the timeliness of feedback you received from the office staff.**
  - Very effective: 83.3%
  - Effective: 0%
  - Neither Effective nor Ineffective: 16.7%

- **Please rate the clarity and helpfulness of feedback from the office staff.**
  - Very effective: 83.3%
  - Effective: 0%
  - Neither Effective nor Ineffective: 16.7%

- **Please rate the quality of IACUC review conducted.**
  - Very effective: 83.3%
  - Effective: 0%
  - Neither Effective nor Ineffective: 16.7%

- **Please rate the overall effectiveness of the process.**
  - Very effective: 83.3%
  - Effective: 0%
  - Neither Effective nor Ineffective: 16.7%